

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- A. Amin, J. Zhang, H. Cramer, L. Hardman, and V. Evers. 2009. The effects of source credibility ratings in a cultural heritage information aggregator, in *Proceedings of The 5th International Conference on Wireless Communication, Networking and Mobile Computing*, New York, NY, pp. 35–42.
- A. M. Kaplan and M. Haenlein. 2010. Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media, *Business Horizons*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 59–68.
- Aaker, J. L. 2000. Accessibility or diagnosticity? Disentangling the influence of culture on persuasion processes and attitudes. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 26, 340–357.
- Ambler, N., and Bui, T. Freeware downloads: An empirical investigation into the impact of expert and user review on demand for digital goods. In J.A. Hoxmeier and S. Hayne (eds.), *Proceedings of the 13th Americas Conference on Information Systems*. Atlanta: Association for Information Systems, 2007.
- B. O. Buttner and A. S. Goritz. 2008. Perceived trustworthiness of online shops, *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 35–50.
- Bach, G.R. 1967. Marathon group dynamics: II. Dimensions of helpfulness: Therapeutic aggression. *Psychological Reports*, 20, 1147-1158.
- Brown, J., Broderick, A.J. and Lee, N. (2007) “Word of mouth communication within online communities: Conceptualizing the online social network”, *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 21(3), pp. 2–20.
- C. Dellarocas, The digitization of word of mouth: Promise and challenges of online feedback mechanisms, *Management Science*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 1407–1424, 2003.
- C. Park and T. M. Lee. 2009. Information direction, website reputation and eWOM effect: A moderating role of product type, *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 61–67.
- Chaiken, S., Ledgerwood, A., 2011. *A theory of heuristic and systematic information processing*. In: Lange, P.A.M.V., Kruglanski, A.W., Higgins, E.T. (Eds.), *Handbook of Theories of Social Psychology: Volume One*. SAGE Inc., New Delhi 246-166.
- Chen, S., Chaiken, S., 1999. *The heuristic-systematic model in its broader context*. In: Chaiken, S., Trope, Y. (Eds.), *Dual-process Theories in Social Psychology*. Guilford Inc., New York, pp. 73–96.
- Cheung, C.M.K. and Thadani, D.R. 2012. “The impact of electronic word-of-mouth communication: A literature analysis and integrative model”, *Decision Support Systems*, 54(1), pp. 461–470.
- Chu, S.C. and Kim, Y. 2011. “Determinants of consumer engagement in electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) in social networking sites”, *International Journal of Advertising*, 30(1), pp. 47–75.

- Connelly, B.L., Certo, S.T., Ireland, R.D., Reutzel, C.R., 2011. Signaling theory: a review and assessment. *J. Manag.* 37 (1), 39–67.
- Connors, L.; Mudambi, S.M.; and Schuff, D. 2011. Is it the review or the reviewer? A multi-method approach to determine the antecedents of online review helpfulness. In R.H. Sprague (ed.), *Proceedings of the 44<sup>th</sup> annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Computer Society Press, 2011.
- Daugherty, T.; Hoffman, E. 2014. eWOM and the importance of capturing consumer attention within social media. *J. Market. Comm.* 2014, 20, 82–102.
- Dessart, L., Veloutsou, C. and Morgan-Thomas, A. (2015) “Consumer engagement in online brand communities: a social media perspective”, *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 24(1), pp. 28–42.
- Ghozali, Imam. 2011. *Analisis Multivariate dengan Program SPSS*. Semarang : Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ghozali, Imam. 2013. *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program IBM SPSS 21 Update PLS Regresi*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Guo, Jumpeng; Wang, Xiaopan; dan Wu, Yi. 2020. Positive emotion bias: Role of emotional content from online customer reviews in purchase decisions, *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services* 52 (2020) 101891
- H. S. Bansal and P. A. Voyer. 2000. Word-of-mouth processes within a services purchase decision context, *Journal of Service Research*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 166–167.
- Hennig-Thurau, T., Gwinner, K.P., Walsh, G. and Gremler, D.D. (2004) “Electronic word-of-mouth via consumer-opinion platforms: What motivates consumers to articulate themselves on the Internet?”, *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 18(1), pp. 38–52.
- Herr, P.M.; Kardes, F.R., and Kim, J. 1991. Effects of word-of-mouth and product-attribute information on persuasion: An accessibility-diagnostics perspective. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17, 4 (1991), 454-462.
- Jain, S.P. and Posavac, S.S. 2001. Prepurchase attribute verifiability, source credibility, and persuasion. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 11, 3, 169–180.
- Jiang, Z. J., & Benbasat, I. 2007. The effects of presentation formats and task complexity on online consumers' product understanding. *MIS Quarterly*, 31, 475–475.
- Jiang, Z., Benbasat, I., 2007. The effects of presentation formats and task complexity on online consumers' product understanding. *MIS Q.* 31 (3), 475–500.
- Kaplan, A.M. and Haenlein, M. (2010) “Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of Social Media”, *Business Horizons*, 53(1), pp. 59–68.
- Kristine L, Nowak dan McGloin, Rory. 2014. The Influence of Peer Reviews on Source Credibility and Purchase Intention, *Societies* 2014, 4, 689–705

- L. Qiu, J. Pang, K. H. Li. 2012 Effects of conflicting aggregated rating on eWOM review credibility and diagnosticity: The moderating role of review valence, *Decision Support Systems*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 631–643.
- Li, J.; Zhan, L. 2011. Online persuasion: How the written word drives WOM. *J. Advert. Res.* 2011, 51, 239–257.
- Lin, Xiaolin; Featherman, Maurincio; Brooks, Stoney L.; dan Hajli, Nick. 2018. Exploring Gender Differences in Online Consumer Purchase Decision Making: An Online Product Presentation Perspective, *Journal Springler*, publishe online, 28 February 2018.
- Lopez, Mauela, dan Sicilia, Maria. 2014. Determinants of E-WOM Influence: The Role of Consumers' Internet Experience, *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, VOL 9 / ISSUE 1 / JANUARY 2014 / 28-43
- M. J. Metzger, A. J. Flanagin and L. Zwarun. 2003. College student web use, perceptions of information credibility and verification behavior, *Computers and Education*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 271–290.
- M. Y. Cheung, C. Luo, C. L. Sia, and H. Chen. 2009. Credibility of electronic word-of-mouth: Informational and normative determinants of online consumer recommendations, *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, vol.13, no. 4, pp. 9–38.
- Mengxiang Li , Liqiang Huang , Chuan-Hoo Tan & Kwok-Kee Wei (2013) Helpfulness of Online Product Reviews as Seen by Consumers: Source and Content Features, *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 17:4, 101-136
- Michaelidou, N., Siamagka, N.T. and Christodoulides, G. 2011. “Usage, barriers and measurement of social media marketing: An exploratory investigation of small and medium B2B brands”, *Industrial Marketing Management*, 40(7), pp. 1153–1159.
- Mudambi, S.M., and Schuff, D. What makes a helpful online review? A study of customer reviews on amazon.com. *MIS Quarterly*, 34, 1 (2010), 185–200.
- Newhagen J, Nass C. 1989. Differential criteria for evaluating credibility of newspapers and TV news. *Journalism Quarterly* 66(2): 277–284.
- P. Chatterjee. 2001. Online reviews: Do consumers use them?, *Advances in Consumer Research*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 129–133.
- Pan, Y., and Zhang, J.Q. Born unequal: A study of the helpfulness of user-generated product reviews. *Journal of retailing*, 87, 4 (2011), 598–612.
- Park, Cheol; Wang, Yao; Yao, Ying; dan Kang, You Rie. 2011. Factors Influencing eWOM Effects: Using Experience, Credibility, and Susceptibility, *International Journal of Social Science and Humanity*, Vol. 1, No. 1, May 2011
- Peng, C.-H., Dezhi, Y., Chih-Ping, W., Han, Z., 2014. How and when review length and emotional intensity influence review helpfulness: empirical evidence from epinions.Com. In: *Thirty Fifth International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS)Conference*, Location, pp. 14–17.

- Pitta, D.A. and Fowler, D. (2005) "Online consumer communities and their value to new product developers", *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 14(5), pp.283–291.
- R. M. Schindler and B. Bickart. 2005. *Published word of mouth: Referable, consumer-generated information on the Internet*, in *Online Consumer Psychology: Understanding and Influencing Consumer Behavior in the Virtual World* (C.P. Haugtvedt, K.A. Machleit, R.F. Yalch, Eds), Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, pp. 35–61.
- Reichelt, J.; Sievert, J.; Jacob, F. 2014. How credibility affects eWOM reading: The influences of expertise, trustworthiness, and similarity on utilitarian and social functions. *J. Market. Comm.* 2014, 20, 65–81.
- S. Ruohomaa and L. Kutvonen. 2013. Behavioural Evaluation of reputation-based trust systems, in *Proceeding of Enterprise Interoperability, 5th International IFIP Working Conference, IWEI 2013 Berlin Heidelberg*, 2013, pp. 158–171.
- Sasaki, T.; Becker, D.V.; Janssen, M.A.; and Neel, R. Does greater product information actually inform consumer decisions? The relationship between product information quantity and diversity of consumer decisions. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 32, 3 (2011), 391–398.
- Schivinski, B. and Dabrowski, D. (2014) "The effect of social media communication on consumer perceptions of brands", *Journal of Marketing Communications*, (ahead-of-print), pp. 1–26.
- Schlosser, A.E. (2011) "Can including pros and cons increase the helpfulness and persuasiveness of online reviews? The interactive effects of ratings and arguments", *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 21(3), pp. 226–239.
- Sugiyono. 2012. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sugiyono. 2013. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.CV
- Sugiyono. 2015. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif R&B*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Tan W-K, Chang Y-C. 2012. Improving users' credibility perception of travel expert blogs: useful lessons from television travel shows. *Information Research* 18(2): 1–15.
- Torres, Javier A. Sanchez; Arroyo-Canada, Farnicisco-Javier; Sole-Moro, Maruia-Lusa; dan Irurita, Ana Argila. 2018. Impact of gender on the acceptance of electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) information in Spain, *Contaduría Administración* 63 (4), 2018, 1-19.
- Trusov, M., Bodapati, A. V and Bucklin, R.E. 2010. "Determining Influential Users in Internet Social Networks", *Journal of Marketing Research*, 47(4), pp. 643–658.
- Ullah, R., Amblee, N., Kim, W., Lee, H., 2016. From valence to emotions: exploring the distribution of emotions in online product reviews. *Decis. Support Syst.* 81, 41–53.

- Weathers, D., Swain, S.D., Grover, V., 2015. Can online product reviews be more helpful? Examining characteristics of information content by product type. *Decis. Support Syst.* 79, 12–23.
- Wu, P.F. 2013. In Search of Negativity Bias: An Empirical Study of Perceived Helpfulness of Online Reviews. *Psychol. Market.* 2013, 30, 971–984.
- You, Y., Vadakkepatti, G.G, Joshi, A.M., 2015. A Meta-Analysis of Electronic Word of Mouth Elasticity. *J. Mark.* 79(2), 19-39.
- Zhang, J.Q., Craciun, G. and Shin, D. (2010) “When does electronic word-of-mouth matter? A study of consumer product reviews”, *Journal of Business Research*, 63(12), pp. 1336–1341.