

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Albarracin, D., Johnson, B. T., & Zanna, M. P. (2005). THE HANDBOOK OF ATTITUDES. In *LAWRENCE ERLBAUM ASSOCIATES, PUBLISHERS 2005 Mahwah, New Jersey London*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2005.01.006>
- Andayani, T. (2020). *Introduction to Sociology*.
- Anggraini, D. R., Petrus, I., & Inderawati, R. (2019). Theoretic Analysis of Implicatures in *Pride and Prejudice* and Its Pedagogical Implications. *Script Journal: Journal of Linguistic and English Teaching*, 4(2), 75.
<https://doi.org/10.24903/sj.v4i2.309>
- Arbain, A. (2016). an Analysis on the Plot of Jane Austens Novel “Pride and Prejudice.” *LINGUA, Pusat Kajian Bahasa Dan Budaya, Surakarta, Indonesia.*, 13(1), 127. <https://doi.org/10.30957/lingua.v13i1.20>
- Austen, J. (2012). The Complete Novel of Jane Austen. In *Montlake Romance, Las Vegas* (Vol. 53, Issue 9).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Awan, A. G., & Ambreen, A. N. (2018). Matrimonial issues and Marxist approach in “Pride and Prejudice” by Jane Austin. *Global Journal of Management, Social Sciences and Humanities* , 4(3), 651–676.
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Drabdul_Awan/publication/326489965_MATRIMONIAL_ISSUES_AND_MARXIST_APPROACH_IN_P_RIDE_AND_PREJUDICE_BY_JANE_AUSTEN/links/5b50a88faca27217ffa6376a/MATRIMONIAL-ISSUES-AND-MARXIST-APPROACH-IN-PRIDE-AND-PREJUDICE-BY-JANE-AUS
- Chang, H. C. (2014). The impact of the feminist heroine: Elizabeth in *Pride and prejudice*. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 3(3), 76–82. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.3n.3p.76>
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2005). The Sage Handbook of Qualitative Research[1]. In *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal* (Vol. 1, Issue 1).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/17465640610666642>
- Ganjoo, P. (2013). Women and Marriage: Study of *Pride and Prejudice* and *A Suitable Boy*. *The Criterion: An International Journal in English*, 4, 1–8.
- Ganjoo, P., Chang, H. C., Kruger, D. J., Fisher, M. L., Strout, S. L., Wehbe, M., Lewis, S., Clark, S., Nayibe Rosado Mendinueta, K. C., Awan, A. G., Ambreen, A. N., Article, P., Setiyawati, V., J., S. M. S., Janosik, S. M., Solving, I. N., Life, T., As, P., Thesis, A. S. P., ... Djatmika, D. (2016).

STUDY OF TRANSLATION OF SENTENCES REPRESENTATING ASSERTIVE ACTION ANSWERING IN TWO NOVEL PRIDE AND PREJUDICE TRANSLATION VERSIONS. *NASPA Journal*, 4(3), 1–444. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

Gesmaloni, G. (2017). The power and discrimination of women as seen in Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice*. *Jurnal Ilmiah Languae and Parole*, 1(1), 105–116. <https://doi.org/10.36057/jilp.v1i1.10>

Hariyanti, M. (2014). *SOCIAL STRATIFICATION REFLECTED AT JANE AUSTEN'S PRIDE AND PREJUDICE NOVEL (1813): A SOCIOLOGICAL APPROACH. 1813.*

Heaverly, A. and, & Kasih, E. (2020). JANE AUSTEN ' S VIEW ON THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION IN PRIDE AND PREJUDICE. *Linguistics and Literature Journal*, 1(1), 1–6.

Hillson, D., & Murray, R. (2005). Understanding and Managing Risk Attitude. In *Gower Publishing Limited* (Vol. 52, Issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130802295572>

Janah, N. (2017). *GENDER DIFFERENCES OF MALE AND FEMALE SPEECH. 133411013.*

Kathryn, G. (2001). BRITISH WOMEN IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY. In *PALGRAVE Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 6XS and 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, N. Y. 10010 Companies and representatives throughout the world* (Vol. 53, Issue 9). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

Kruger, D. J., Fisher, M. L., Strout, S. L., Wehbe, M., Lewis, S., & Clark, S. (2013). Variation in women's mating strategies depicted in the works and words of Jane Austen. *Journal of Social, Evolutionary, and Cultural Psychology*, 7(3), 197–210. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0099201>

Merriam, S. B. (2009). Qualitative Research A Guide to Design and Implementation. In *Jossey-Bass A Wiley Imprint.*

Michael Quinn, P. (2002). Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods third edition. In *Qualitative Inquiry*. http://books.google.com/books/about/Qualitative_research_and_evaluation_meth.html?id=FjBw2oi8E14C

Miles, M. B., & Huberman, ;A Michael. (1994). An Expanded Sourcebook Qualitative Data Analysis. In *SAGE Publications* (Vol. 251, Issue 2, pp. 65–68). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02759913>

Mungan, E., & Suryanovika, C. (2018). *The Critical Thinking of Elizabeth Bennet*

and Fitzwilliam Darcy in Jane Austen's Pride and Prejudice. 6.

Pitoy, S. (2018). Mood in novel *Pride and Prejudice* by Jane Austen. *NASPA Journal*, 42(4), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

Rugian, I. P. (2016). The Effect of Family toward Mr. Darcy and Elizabeth Bennet's Behavior. *Jurnal .Pijar MIPA*, 11, 81–86. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

Setiyawati, V. (2013). *POWER OF LOVE IN PRIDE AND PREJUDICE BY JANE AUSTEN (1813): A PSYCHOANALYTIC APPROACH SCHOOL OF TEACHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION. 1813.*

Steinbach, S. L. (2017). Understanding the Victorians. In *Routledge*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315545301>

Swingewood, A., & Laurenson, D. T. (1972). *The Sociology of Literature (PDFDrive.com).pdf.*

Wellek, R., & Warren, A. (1962). THEORY OF LITERATURE. In *HARCOURT, BRACE AND COMPANY New York* (Vol. 53, Issue 9). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

<https://www.janeausten.org/jane-austen-biography.asp>

<http://www.forprideandprejudice.com/2017/11/biography-of-jane-austen.html>

<https://www.britannica.com/biography/Jane-Austen>

<http://nownowmove.blogspot.com/2013/10/teori-sastra-dalam-novel-jean-austen.html>