

**A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIVE UTTERANCE USED IN
REPUBLICAN DEBATE IN MIAMI ON MARCH 10, 2016**



RESEARCH PAPER

**Submitted as a Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for Getting Bachelor Degree of
Education in English Department**

By:

MARTHA YUSENDA

A320130165

**ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
SCHOOL OF TEACHERS TRAINING AND EDUCATION
UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SURAKARTA**

2017

TESTIMONY

I am as the researcher, signed on this statement below:

Name : Martha Yusenda
NIM : A320130165
Program of Study : Department of English Education
Title of Research Paper : A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIVE
UTTERANCE USED IN REPUBLICAN DEBATE
IN MIAMI ON MARCH 10, 2016

Herewith, I testify that in this research paper there is no plagiarism of the previous study literary works which have been raised to obtain bachelor degrees of a university, nor there are options or masterpiece which have been written or published by others, except those in which the writing are referred in the manuscript and mentioned in literary reviews and references.

Hence later, if it is proven that there are some untrue statements in this testimony, I will hold fully responsible.

Surakarta, 22 July 2017

The Researcher,



Martha Yusenda

A320130165

APPROVAL

**A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIVE UTTERANCE USED IN
REPUBLICAN DEBATE IN MIAMI ON MARCH 10, 2016**

RESEARCH PAPER


by

MARTHA YUSENDA

A320130165

Approved by Consultant

Consultant I



Dra. Siti Zuhriah Ariatmi, M.Hum.

NIK. 225

ACCEPTANCE

A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIVE UTTERANCE USED IN
REPUBLICAN DEBATE IN MIAMI ON MARCH 10, 2016

Written by:

Martha Yusenda

A320130165

Accepted by

The Board of Examiners of School of Teacher Training and Education of
Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta

The Board Examiners:

1. Dra. Siti Zuhriah Ariatmi, M. Hum.
(Chair Person)
2. Dr. Agus Wijavanto, Ph.D
(Member I)
3. Dra. Malikatul Laila, M.Hum
(Member II)

(.....)
(.....)
(.....)

Surakarta,

Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta
School of Teacher Training and Education

Dean,



Prof. Dr. Haruh Joko Pravitno, M.Hum.

NIP. 19650426 1999303 1 001

MOTTO

“Do it! Don’t waste your time” (The writer)

DEDICATION

This research paper is especially dedicated to:

My beloved parent

(Koesyanto and Ida)

My older brother

(Cakra Yudha Saputra)

My younger brother

(Zahrian Ramadanianta)

My beloved grandfather and grandmother

My beloved best friends whom I can't mention one by one

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim

By saying the name of Allah, the most powerful, His godness never can be calculated, so the researcher can finish this research paper entitled “**A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIVE UTTERANCE USED IN REPUBLICAN DEBATE IN MIAMI ON MARCH 10, 2016**”. This research paper is one of requirement to finish the study in English Department of Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta. This research paper can not be finished without many helps from many people. So, the researcher would like to express big thanks to:

1. Prof. Dr. Harun Joko Prayitno, the Dean of Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta.
2. Mauliyah Halwat Hikmat, Ph.D, the Head of English Department of Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta.
3. Nur Hidayat, M.Pd, as the academic advisor who has also given motivation an support to the finish this researcher paper.
4. Dra. Siti Zuhriah Ariatmi, M.Hum, as the consultant, who has given much knowledge and guide to the researcher until this research paper finish.
5. All lecturers in English Department of Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta for all the beneficial knowledge that has received by the reseacher.
6. Her beloved family, her beloved father and mother who always give praying, advice, support and motivation in all situations.
7. Her dearest friends who always together from beginning semester. Mutia, Endah, Millatii, Danik, Prahita, Pras, “thankyou for always being beside the researcher.” “Thankyou for the supports and helps. I love you all. See you on top.”
8. Her beloved friends in class D for the togetherness.
9. Her beloved friends in Department of English Education.
10. All of staffs who help the researcher in processing the administration.

11. Everybody who has helped the reseacher in this process who cannot be mentioned one by one.

Thanks for all kindness and support. The writer hopes that this research will give advantages for everyone.

Surakarta, 22 Juli 2017

The Writer

ABSTRAK

Martha Yusenda. A320130165. **A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIVE UTTERANCE USED IN REPUBLICAN DEBATE IN MIAMI ON MARCH 10, 2016.** Skripsi. Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta. Juli, 2017.

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mendiskripsikan (1) jenis tuturan *commissive* dalam debat presiden di Miami tanggal 10 maret 2016 dan (2) arti makna tersirat dari tuturan *commissive* dalam debat presiden di Miami tanggal 10 maret 2016. Data yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah tuturan *commissive* yang digunakan oleh para kandidat. Penelitian ini menerapkan metode dokumentasi dengan mempelajari transkrip dari debat tersebut dan observasi. Data dianalisis dengan menggunakan teori *speech act* dari Kreidler (1998) dan teori konteks situasi dari Brown dan Yule (1983).

Penelitian ini menunjukkan bahwa ada 4 kategori tuturan *commissive* yaitu janji, ikrar, ancaman dan relawan. Keempat tuturan *commissive* tersebut yang paling dominan adalah janji, kedua adalah ancaman dan relawan, ketiga adalah ikrar. Penelitian ini juga mendiskripsikan makna tersirat yang memiliki peran yang sangat penting dalam memahami makna pada tuturan.

Kata kunci: *tipe tuturan commissive, konteks situasi*

ABSTRACT

Martha Yusenda. A320130165. **A PRAGMATIC ANALYSIS OF COMMISSIVE UTTERANCE USED IN REPUBLICAN DEBATE IN MIAMI ON MARCH 10, 2016.** Research Paper. School of Teacher Training and Education Faculty, Muhammadiyah University of surakarta. July, 2017.

This study is aimed at 2 objectives: (1) the intention of commissive utterance in the republican debate in Miami on March 10, 2016 and (2) the implied meaning of the commissive utterance in the republican debate in Miami on March 10, 2016. The data of this reserach are utterance containing commissive intens. The writer used observation and documentation as the technique of collecting data in thus study. The data are analyzed by using speech act theory of Kreidler (1998) and context situation theory of Brown and Yule (1983)

This study shows that there are four kinds of commissive utterance, They are promising, pledging, threatening and vowing. The four commissive utterances are ranked into the most dominant, promising, the second dominant, threatening and vowing, the third dominant, vowing. This research also describe the implied meaning which have different important role to understand the meaning of the utterance.

Keyword: *commissive utterance, context situation*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE.....	i
TESTIMONY.....	ii
APPROVAL.....	iii
ACCEPTANCE	iv
MOTTO	v
DEDICATION	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
ABSTRAK	ix
ABSTRACT.....	x
TABLE OF CONTENT	xi
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	
A. Background of the Study.....	1
B. Limitation of the Study	2
C. Problem Statement	2
D. Objective of the Study.....	3
E. Benefit of the Study	3
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	
A. Underlying Theory	
1. The Notion of Pragmatics	4
2. Sociopragmatics	5
3. Pragmatics' Principles.....	5
4. Speech Act Theory	7
5. Commissive Utterance	9
6. Implied Meaning	11
B. Previous Study	11
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD	
A. Research Type.....	15
B. Research Object	15
C. Data and Data Source.....	15

D. Method of Collecting Data.....	15
E. Data Validity	17
F. Technique of Analyzing Data	17
 CHAPTER IV: RESEARCH FINDING AND DISCUSSION	
A. Data Analysis	18
B. Discussion	31
 CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION	
A. Conclusion	33
B. Suggestion	33
BIBLIOGRAPHY	35
APPENDIX.....	37

LIST OF TABLE

TABLE

Table 4.1 Types of commissive utterance found in the transcript	31
---	----

LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix 1. Data Source of the Research	38
---	----