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SUMMARY


This research paper describe the type, the frequency and the dominant type of communication strategies used by English Department students in Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta in Saturday English Gathering (SEGA) program.

The type of this research is descriptive research. Data of the research are taken from the fourth semester students of Saturday English Gathering (SEGA) program. The object of this study is communication strategies used by students when they were speaking English in Saturday English Gathering Muhammadiyah University of Surakarta in 2015 period. The data are taken by observation, recording and transcribing, note taking, the last validity and reliability. The researcher uses descriptive qualitative research by Celce-Murcia Taxonomy of CS.

Based on data analysis, the researcher found 4 types with 10 subtypes of communication strategy used by the students in SEGA program UMS. They were, a) Stalling or Time-gaining Strategies: (1) Self Repetition with 25,38%, (2) Fillers with 41,11%. b) Interactional Strategies: (1) Appeal for Help with 1,52% (Indirect) and 7,1% (Direct), (2) Requests (Repetition) with 1,01%. c) Achievement or Compensatory Strategies: (1) Retrieval with 4,56%, (2) Code switching with 7,61%, (3) Non-Linguistic Means with 2,53%, (4) Word Coinage with 1,01%, (5) Restructuring with 1,52%. And the last d) Self Monitoring Strategies: (1) Self Initiated Repair with 6,59%. The dominant strategy used by the students is Stalling or Time-gaining Strategies with 66,49% and the lowest percentage is Self Monitoring Strategies with 6,59%. From that data, the researcher estimated there was cause why the students often used stalling and time-gaining strategies. It was because this strategy was the most easy to apply than other types of communication strategies.

Keywords: Communication Strategies, Students, SEGA program